RICHMOND QUARRY

Annual Review 2020 Calendar Year

IMS-COMP-G-0875-RQ





CONTENTS

| 1.0 | TITL | E BLOCK | 4 |
|-------|---------------|---|---|
| 2.0 | INT | RODUCTION | 5 |
| | 2.1 | SCOPE | 5 |
| | 2.2 | BACKGROUND | 6 |
| | 2.3 | APPROVALS | 6 |
| | 2.4 | OPERATION MAPS | 6 |
| | 2.4.1 | REGIONAL CONTEXT MAP | 6 |
| | 2.4.2 | PROJECT LAYOUT AND BIODIVERSITY OFFSET MAP | 6 |
| | 2.4.3 | OPERATIONAL DISTURBANCE FOOTPRINT MAP | 7 |
| | 2.4.4 | ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING LOCATIONS MAP | 7 |
| | 2.4.5 | SITE PHOTOS | 7 |
| | 2.5 | KEY ENVIRONMENTAL PERSONNEL CONTACT DETAILS | 7 |
| 3.0 | OP | erations summary | 7 |
| | 3.1 | PRODUCTION SUMMARY | 7 |
| | 3.2 | OPERATIONS CARRIED OUT DURING 2020 | 8 |
| | 3.2.1 | OPERATIONAL EXTENT | 8 |
| | 3.2.2 | OPERATIONS COMPLETED | 8 |
| | 3.2.3 | SAND WASHING PLANT | 8 |
| | 3.2.4 | HOURS OF OPERATION | 8 |
| | 3.2.5 | TRUCK MOVEMENTS | 9 |
| | 3.3 | OPERATIONS TO BE CARRIED OUT DURING 2021 | 9 |
| 4.0 | AC | TIONS REQUIRED FROM PREVIOUS ANNUAL REVIEW | 7 |
| 5.0 | EN | VIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE | 7 |
| | 5.1 | NOISE | 9 |
| | 5.2 | AIR QUALITY | 2 |
| | 5.3 | HERITAGE (ABORIGINAL AND NON-ABORIGINAL) | 2 |
| | 5.4 | WATER MANAGEMENT | 4 |
| | 5.4.1 | WATER LICENCES | 4 |
| | 5.4.2 | WATER DISCHARGES | 4 |
| | 5.4.3 | SITE WATER BALANCE | 4 |
| | 5.4.4 | WATER MANAGEMENT 1 | 5 |
| | 5.4.5 | SURFACE WATER MONITORING 1 | 5 |
| sue N | o: 1.0 | Effective Date: 03/2021 Page | 1 |



| | 5.4.6 | CHANGES TO SURFACE WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS | |
|------|-------|--|----|
| | 5.4.7 | GROUNDWATER MONITORING | |
| | 5.4.8 | CHANGES TO GROUND WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS | |
| 6.0 | REF | HABILITATION PERFORMANCE | |
| 7.0 | BIC | DDIVERSITY | |
| 8.0 | CC | DMMUNITY | |
| | 8.1 | COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE | |
| | 8.2 | COMPLAINTS REGISTER | |
| 9.0 | INE | DEPENDENT ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT | 27 |
| 10.0 |) STA | ATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE | |
| 11.0 |) NC | ON COMPLIANCE | 27 |
| | 11.1 | TRUCK MOVEMENTS | |
| | 11.2 | OPERATING HOURS | |
| | 11.3 | TRANSPORT MONITORING | |
| APF | PENDI | IX A – MAPPING | |
| APF | PENDI | IX B – SITE PRODUCTION DATA | |
| APF | PENDI | IX C – INDEPENDENT ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT | |
| APF | PENDI | IX D – WATER MONITORING TABLES | 41 |
| APF | PENDI | IX E – SITE PHOTOGRAPHS OF BUNDS AND SCREENING AREAS | 44 |
| APF | PENDI | IX F – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING GRAPHS | 48 |
| APF | PENDI | IX G – UPDATE LETTER TO RESIDENTS | 75 |



DEFINITIONS

| ANZECC | Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council |
|------------------|---|
| CCC | Community Consultative Committee |
| CEA | Central Extraction Area |
| DPI&E | NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment. |
| DPI Water | Division of Water within the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and |
| | Environment. |
| DRE | Division of Resources & Energy within the NSW Planning, Industry and |
| | Environment |
| EAL | Environmental Analysis Laboratory |
| EPA | Environment Protection Authority |
| EPL | Environment Protection Licence |
| Extraction Area | The Central and Southern Extraction Areas, shown on Figure 9 in |
| | Appendix 6 of the Project Approval |
| EA | Richmond Quarry Expansion, Environmental Assessment Report |
| | prepared by ERM Pty Limited and dated February 2010 |
| EA (MOD 1) | Modification Application MP 09_0080 MOD 1 dated April 2013 |
| EA (MOD 2) | Modification Application MP 09_0080 MOD 2 dated February 2016, |
| | the accompanying annexures A and B and the response to |
| | submissions dated April 2016 |
| EA (MOD 3) | Modification Application MP 09_0080 MOD 3 dated February 2017, |
| | titled Annexure A – Application pursuant to Section 75W of the |
| | Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the response |
| | to submissions dated July 2017 |
| DECC | Department of Environment & Climate Change |
| DRG | Department of Resources & Geoscience |
| IEA | Independent Environmental Audit |
| LCC | Lismore City Council |
| LMP | Landscape Management Plan |
| MP | Monitoring Point |
| | - |
| Project Approval | Project Approval issued by Planning and Assessment Commission of |
| | New South Wales containing the CoA dated 30 August 2012 as |
| | amended from time to time |
| NAL | Noise Assessment Location |
| NATA | National Association of Testing Authorities |
| NHMRC | National Health and Medical Research Council |
| OEH | Office of Environmental Heritage |
| SEA | Southern Extraction Area |
| Reporting period | The 2020 calendar year |



1.0 TITLE BLOCK

| Name of operation | Richmond Quarry |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Name of operator | GSQ Holdings Pty Ltd |
| Development consent / project approval # | Part 3A Project Approval 09_0080 |
| Name of holder of development consent / project approval | Richmond Quarry |
| Mining lease # | NA |
| Name of holder of mining lease | NA |
| Water licence # | NA |
| Name of holder of water licence | NA |
| MOP/RMP start date | NA |
| MOP/RMP end date | NA |
| Annual Review start date | 1 January 2020 |
| Annual Review end date | 31 December 2020 |

I, Michael Barnes, certify that this audit report is a true and an accurate record of the compliance status of Richmond Quarry for the period 1 January to 31 December 2020 and that I am authorised to make this statement on behalf of Richmond Quarry.

Note.

- a) The Annual Review is an 'environmental audit' for the purposes of section 122B(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Section 122E provides that a person must not include false or misleading information (or provide information for inclusion in) an audit report produced to the Minister in connection with an environmental audit if the person knows that the information is false or misleading in a material respect. The maximum penalty is, in the case of a corporation, \$1 million and for an individual, \$250,000.
- b) The Crimes Act 1900 contains other offences relating to false and misleading information: section 192G (Intention to defraud by false or misleading statement maximum penalty 5 years imprisonment); sections 307A, 307B and 307C (False or misleading applications/information/documents—maximum penalty 2 years imprisonment or \$22,000, or both).

| Name of authorised reporting officer | Michael Barnes |
|---|--------------------|
| Title of authorised reporting officer | Commercial Manager |
| Signature of authorised reporting officer | Alle. |
| Date | 31/03/2021 |



2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 SCOPE

This Annual Review has been prepared in accordance with Condition 4, Schedule 5 (Condition 4(5)) of Project Approval (MP 09_000) for Richmond Quarry. This review covers the calendar year reporting period from 1 January 2020 to 31 December 2020.

Condition 4(5) and all other relevant conditions required as part of the Annual Review are outlined in Table 1 with reference to the section of this report where each has been addressed.

Table 1: Relevant Conditions of Approval

| | | 0 !! |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Condition of Approval | Condition Requirements | Section Addressed in Report |
| | By the end of March each year, the Proponent must submit a report to the Department reviewing the environmental performance of the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This review must: (a) describe the development (including rehabilitation) that was carried out in the previous calendar year, and the development that is proposed to be carried out over the current calendar year; | 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 5.0, 6.0 |
| Condition 4(5) | (b) include a comprehensive review of the monitoring results and complaints records of the project over the previous calendar year, which includes a comparison of these results against: the relevant statutory requirements, limits or performance measures/criteria; the monitoring results of previous years; and the relevant predictions in the documents listed in condition 2(a) of Schedule 2; | 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 5.4, 8.2, Appendix F |
| | (c) identify any non-compliance over the last year, and describe what actions were (or are being) taken to ensure compliance; | 11.0 |
| | (d) identify any trends in the monitoring data over the life of the project; | 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 |
| | (e) identify any discrepancies between the predicted and actual impacts of the project, and analyse the potential cause of any significant discrepancies; and | 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 |
| | (f) describe what measures will be implemented over the current calendar year to improve the environmental performance of the project. | 3.0, 5.0, 6.0 |
| Condition 19(2) | The Proponent must: (a) provide annual quarry production data to DRG using the standard form for that purpose; and (b) include a copy of this data in the Annual Review (see condition 4 of schedule 5). | 3.1, Appendix B |
| Condition 30A(2) | The Proponent must make, and retain for at least 3 years, records of the time of dispatch, weight of load and vehicle identification for each laden truck dispatched from the project. These records must be made available to the Department on request and a summary included in the Annual Review. | 11.1, 11.2 and 11.3 |



2.2 BACKGROUND

Richmond Quarry is a sandstone quarry located at 1668 Wyrallah Road, Tuckurimba NSW 2480 with the site's regional context shown in Figure 1 in Appendix A. The quarry has been in small scale operation on the site since 1959, and then commencing to operate under Lismore City Council's Development Consent (DA 2005/999).

In 2011, following geological testing the Quarry was recognised as State Significant resource. In 2012 a Part 3A expansion to 250,000 tonnes per annum extraction was approved by the NSW State government. In 2014 this approval was implemented after environmental controls were put in place.

Richmond Quarry is predominantly surrounded by agricultural grazing land.

On Wednesday the 22nd of May 2019 Richmond Quarry suspended quarrying activities onsite. During the 2020 reporting year, Richmond Quarry has continued to be suspended with only environmental monitoring and sediment and erosion maintenance activities being conducted onsite.

Company management are in the progress of determining the future plans for the quarry in the longer term.

2.3 APPROVALS

A summary of all the approvals relevant to the Richmond Quarry site is provided in Table 2. Modification 3 of Project Approval 09_0080 was approved in August 2017 for the operation of a sand washing plant on-site.

No water extraction licence is required for operations.

| Approval Type | Approval Number | Date Granted | Changes made to approval |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| Project Approval | 09_0080 | 30 August 2012 | Modification 3 granted on 9 August 2017. |
| Environmental Protection Licence | 20562 | 10 April 2015 | Minor licence variations made by EPA to reflect changes made to Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997. Variations dated 10 September 2020. |

Table 2: Summary of Approvals

2.4 OPERATION MAPS

2.4.1 REGIONAL CONTEXT MAP

The regional location of the Richmond Quarry is detailed in Figure 1 of Appendix A.

2.4.2 PROJECT LAYOUT AND BIODIVERSITY OFFSET MAP

The project layout, showing the following is provided as Figure 2 of Appendix A. The project layout includes:



- Approved operational boundary.
- Approved extraction extent.
- Biodiversity Offset Areas.
- Protected Revegetation Area.

2.4.3 OPERATIONAL DISTURBANCE FOOTPRINT MAP

The current Quarry disturbance footprint is identified in Figure 3 of Appendix A.

2.4.4 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING LOCATIONS MAP

The environmental monitoring program for the site includes surface water, groundwater and dust monitoring as detailed in Figure 4 of Appendix A.

The noise monitoring locations at sensitive receivers is provided in the Noise Management Plan (v2.1) and Figure 3 of Project Approval 09_0080.

2.4.5 SITE PHOTOS

Site photographs of bunds and screening are detailed in Appendix E. All photographs were taken in March 2021.

2.5 KEY ENVIRONMENTAL PERSONNEL CONTACT DETAILS

The contact details of key employees at Richmond Quarry are provided in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Environmental Personnel

| Name | Position | Phone |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Matt Duff | Quarry Manager | 02 6622 0886 |
| Steve Scifleet | QSE Manager | 02 6674 7656 |
| Russell Currie | Environment & Quality Coordinator | 02 6674 7656 |

3.0 OPERATIONS SUMMARY

3.1 PRODUCTION SUMMARY

Table 4 and 5 describe the tonnes of product sold onsite during the calendar year.

Table 4: Production Summary

| Material | Approved limit (specify source) | Previous reporting period (2017 actual) | Previous reporting period (2018 actual) | Previous reporting Period (2019 actual) | Current Reporting Period (2020 actual) |
|---------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Saleable Product | 250,000 t (MP 09_0080) | 42,285.84 † | 29,823.73 † | 53,515.50 t | 0t Currently suspended |



Table 5: Tonnes Sold Monthly

| Month | Tonnes Sold |
|----------------|-------------|
| January 2020 | 0 |
| February 2020 | 0 |
| March 2020 | 0 |
| April 2020 | 0 |
| May 2020 | 0 |
| June 2020 | 0 |
| July 2020 | 0 |
| August 2020 | 0 |
| September 2020 | 0 |
| October 2020 | 0 |
| November 2020 | 0 |
| December 2020 | 0 |
| Annual Total | 0 |

Annual production data for each financial year is reported to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment's (DPI&E) Division of Resources and Geosciences (DRE). A copy of the form submitted to the DRE for the 2019/2020 financial year is provided in Appendix B. It should be noted that all other data reported within this Annual Review is presented on a calendar year basis in accordance with the requirements of the Project Approval 09_0080.

3.2 OPERATIONS CARRIED OUT DURING 2020

3.2.1 OPERATIONAL EXTENT

On Wednesday the 22nd of May 2019 Richmond Quarry suspended quarrying activities onsite. No processing of quarried materials was conducted post the suspension of the quarry. Company management are in the progress of determining the future plans for the quarry in the longer term.

3.2.2 OPERATIONS COMPLETED

A storage, maintenance and equipment shed was constructed on-site for the storage of bunded chemicals in accordance with AS1940-2004 in 2018. A self bunded fuel tank was installed on-site for refuelling onsite. The self bunded fuel tank has since been removed following the suspension of site activities.

3.2.3 SAND WASHING PLANT

Until the suspension, the Sand Washing Plant continued to operate in the northern quadrant of the southern extraction area following Modification 3 in August 2017.

3.2.4 HOURS OF OPERATION

In accordance with Condition 6(3) of Project Approval 09_0080, quarry operating hours are detailed in Table 6. The quarry does not operate on Sundays or public holidays.



Table 6: Operational Hours

| Day | Quarry Operations including Construction Activities | Rock Hammer Operations | |
|------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Monday to Friday | 7 am to 6 pm | 9 am to 12 pm and 2pm to 4pm | |
| Saturday | 8 am to 1 pm | None | |

Should operations restart following the suspension, Richmond Quarry will continue to operate within Progression 1 of the Southern Extraction Area, progressively moving into the Western Quadrant.

3.2.5 TRUCK MOVEMENTS

A register of truck movements is maintained on-site. A total of 0 truck dispatches from the site were recorded during the reporting period. Further discussion on truck movements is detailed within Section 11.0.

3.3 OPERATIONS TO BE CARRIED OUT DURING 2021

Richmond Quarry is currently not operating following the suspension of operations in May 2019. Should the site reopen the Quarry will continue to operate within Progression 1 of the Southern Extraction Area, progressively moving into the Western Quadrant.

Bund F shown in Figure 4 of Project Approval (MP 09_0080) is planned to be constructed, vegetated and planted with native endemic shrubs and trees in accordance with the Landscape Management Plan should operations recommence.

4.0 ACTIONS REQUIRED FROM PREVIOUS ANNUAL REVIEW

Table 7: Annual Review Actions

| Action required from previous Annual Review | Requested by | Where discussed in Annual Review |
|---|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | NA | NA |

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

5.1 NOISE

During 2020, Richmond Quarry operated in accordance with the Site's Noise Management Plan V2.1. Under normal operating conditions noise monitoring is performed on a quarterly basis to ensure the below approved criteria from the Project Approval 09_0080 and EPL 20562 are met. Noise monitoring was completed for Quarter 1 in 2019. Due to the suspension of Quarrying operations on the 22nd of May 2019 Richmond Quarry applied to the DPI&E with a revised Noise Management Plan that suspended quarterly onsite Noise Monitoring until the reinstatement of quarrying operations. The DPI&E agreed to these changes in a letter dated 22 July 2019.



Table 8: Noise Criteria for Richmond Quarry

| Receiver | LA eq (15 min) dB(A) | Relevant Conditions |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| NAL 4 and NAL 5 | 38 | Condition 5, Schedule 3 of PA |
| NAL2, NAL2A, NAL 3 and privately | | 09_0080. |
| owned land along the southern end of | 37 | Condition L4.1 of EPL 20562. |
| Hazlemount Lane | | |
| NAL 1 and other receivers | 35 | |

Noise results for 2019 are provided in Table 9 and available on the Richmond Quarry website.

All noise monitoring is performed by a suitably qualified consultant to ensure operational noise is correctly recorded. In the event of any noise exceedance, follow up noise monitoring will be conducted when required and affected landowners will be notified. Exceedances in the noise criteria will be appropriately addressed by quarry management through the implementation of mitigation measures including changes to quarry operations or the implementation of noise reducing equipment.

Project Approval 09_0080 requires annual sound power testing of site equipment. This was performed once in 2019. Due to the suspension of the quarrying activities onsite, no sound power testing was completed after quarter 1 2019 as there was no operating quarrying occurring onsite after this date.

The sand washing plant was commissioned in 2018, no noise complaints have been received in relation to the operation of the sand washing plant.



Table 9: Noise Monitoring Results for Richmond Quarry

| Date | Location | Type of Noise Monitoring | Relevant Criteria | Result | Compliant/ Non- Compliant | Noise Monitoring Conducted By |
|------------|---|---|----------------------|--------|------------------------------|--|
| 20/03/2019 | | NAL 2A (3) | Routine Quarterly | 36.1 | <37 Compliant | Consultant |
| 20/03/2019 | | NAL 3 | Routine Quarterly | 32 | <37 Compliant | Consultant |
| 20/03/2019 | 03/2019 Sound Metso LT106 Jaw Crushe Power | | SPL | 102.7 | SPL | Consultant |
| | Level (SPL) On-site | Dozer D10 - Single Tyne Ripper Sandstone | SPL | 108.8 | SPL | Consultant |
| | Plant and Equipment | Dozer D10 - Pushing Up Material | SPL | 105.3 | SPL | Consultant |
| | | Maxtrax Cone Crusher - 10/30 Rear | SPL | 104.4 | SPL | Consultant |
| | | Maxtrax Cone Crusher - 14/45 Front | SPL | 103.7 | SPL | Consultant |
| | | Maxtrax Cone Crusher - 14/70 Front | SPL | 102.4 | SPL | Consultant |
| | | Maxtrax Cone Crusher - 11 Opposite | SPL | 101.8 | SPL | Consultant |



5.2 AIR QUALITY

Site dust monitoring is performed on a monthly basis at the north east corner of the site that is nearest residential receiver (Receiver 2) the location of the dust monitoring location is shown in Figure 4 of Appendix A. The location of the dust bottle was moved in 2018 due to the previous location being not on quarry land. There were no issues or concerns with the location in 2020.

Table 10 provides the dust monitoring results from 2020. The dust results showed no exceedances in the trigger values.

| Month | Sampling Days (30 days +/- 2) | Sample Comments | Sample Volume | Deposit Rate of Insoluble Solids Total Suspended Solids | | Deposit Rate of Ash | Deposit Rate of Combustible |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | (L) | (g/m² / mth) | (mg/m² /day) | (g/m² /mth) | Matter (g/m² /mth) |
| Trigger V | alues | | | >4 | - | 2 | - |
| Jan 20 | 31 | Fine Organic Matter Present | 2.95L | 2.4 | 81 | 1.8 | 0.7 |
| Feb 20 | 30 | Fine Organic Matter Observed | 5L | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Mar 20 | 30 | - | 2.3L | 1.4 | 48 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Apr 20 | 32 | - | 1.46L | 0.6 | 19 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| May 20 | 36 | - | 1.05L | 1.5 | 50 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Jun 20 | 28 | - | 2.45L | 0.7 | 22 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Jul 20 | 30 | - | 2.35L | 0.7 | 25 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Aug 20 | 31 | - | 0.61L | 0.6 | 21 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Sept 20 | 31 | - | 0.14L | 0.4 | 13 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Oct 20 | 28 | - | 1.20L | 0.5 | 15 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Nov 20 | 32 | - | 0.4L | 1.3 | 43 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Dec 20 | 31 | - | 5.0L | 0.3 | 11 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

Table 10: Monthly Dust Monitoring Results for Richmond Quarry 2020

5.3 HERITAGE (ABORIGINAL AND NON-ABORIGINAL)

Heritage management conditions are covered under Conditions 34, 35 and 36 of Project Approval 09_0080. Site activities are operated within the operational footprint shown in Figure 2 in Appendix A. No Aboriginal or non-aboriginal heritage items were detected onsite in 2020. Previous cultural heritage investigations on-site have not detected any Aboriginal or non-aboriginals heritage items in the area. Table 11 below provides a summary of the heritage conditions and their implementation to date.



Table 11: Summary of Heritage Conditions

| Project Approval Condition # | Details | Implementation |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Condition 34, Schedule 3 | This approval does not allow the Proponent to disturb any human remains found on site. | No human remains found on-site. This requirement is covered off with all employees during the site induction. |
| Condition 35, Schedule 3 | Prior to causing any surface disturbance of the land in the sites for the: (a) Water Supply Dam; (b) Water Reuse Dam; and (c) Southern Extraction Area the Proponent must undertake targeted sub-surface archaeological investigations, in consultation with OEH and Aboriginal stakeholders, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | Sub-surface investigations carried out on 29 November 2013. |
| Condition 36, Schedule 3 | The Proponent must prepare a Heritage Management Plan for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (a) be prepared in consultation with OEH and Aboriginal stakeholders; (b) be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to carrying out any development on site (other than the construction of bunds and vegetative screening) under this approval; (c) include a detailed program for proposed targeted sub-surface archaeological investigations, including a strategic sampling methodology; and (d) describe the measures that would be implemented for: monitoring all new surface disturbance on site for unidentified Aboriginal objects; managing the discovery of any human remains or previously unidentified Aboriginal objects on site; and ensuring ongoing consultation with Aboriginal stakeholders in the conservation and management of any Aboriginal cultural heritage values on site. The Proponent must implement the approved management plan as approved from time to time by the Secretary. | During 2020, the site operated under the Heritage Management Plan (Versions 2.0 and 2.1). |



5.4 WATER MANAGEMENT

5.4.1 WATER LICENCES

Richmond Quarry does not hold a water licence for site operations. The water reuse dam on-site is used for operational water requirements.

5.4.2 WATER DISCHARGES

A controlled discharge (by pump at the release point) occurred at MP6 during March and September 2020. The water quality was tested prior and during the releases. Each release was conducted in accordance with the sites Environmental Protection Licence EPL20562 and Site Water Management Plan. The details of the discharge monitoring results are detailed in Table 12 below.

Table 12: MP6 Water Discharge Records 2020

| Date | Pretest or Release | PH >6.5 or <8.5 | Suspended Solids | Oil & Grease | Comments |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|---|
| 9/03/2020 | Pretest | 7.7 | 2 | <2 | - |
| 10/03/2020 | Release | 9.07 | 8 | 2 | Onsite testing at location confirmed water was within pH limits prior to release. |
| 11/03/2020 | Release | 8.84 | 2 | <2 | Onsite testing at location confirmed water was within pH limits prior to release. |
| 12/03/2020 | Release | 7.72 | 1 | 6 | - |
| 13/03/2020 | Release | 7.7 | 8 | 5 | - |
| 15/09/2020 | Pretest | 7.21 | 4 | 3 | - |
| 16/09/2020 | Release | 8.91 | 7 | 4 | Onsite testing at location confirmed water was within pH limits prior to release. |
| 17/09/2020 | Release | 8.86 | 8 | 4 | Onsite testing at location confirmed water was within pH limits prior to release. |

5.4.3 SITE WATER BALANCE

During 2019, operational water was used on-site for dust suppression and truck washing. Operational water is sourced from the site's Water Reuse Dam that has a 40 ML capacity which is significantly greater than the sites current water requirements. No specific records were kept in relation to the use of water



onsite, demand is managed on a weekly basis to ensure the water level in the water reuse dam does not exceed freeboard. No operational water was used in 2020 due to the suspension of operations.

Employees use potable water delivered to a tank located beside the lunch room building. Employees utilise a portable toilet that is serviced regularly by a licenced operator.

The sand washing plant was commissioned during 2018 and continued to be used until 22 May 2019. The processing of sand utilises water from the water reuse pond. All process water is returned to the water reuse pond following the reduction of the sediment load in the 1ml processing ponds.

In addition, the operation of the sand washing plant and ancillary activities has increased the surface disturbance area of the site by approximately one hectare (Figure 3 of Appendix A). As stated in the Water Management Plan, the existing Water Reuse Dam has the capacity to adequately accommodate this increase in the site's disturbance footprint.

5.4.4 WATER MANAGEMENT

The sites water management practises are described in the approved Water Management Plan (v2.1). This plan details how the site approaches the management of surface and groundwater onsite.

The site is currently collecting baseline data for all surface water and groundwater monitoring points to establish statistically derived site specific trigger levels. In the interim, monitoring results are compared against the following guidelines:

- Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (2000) (ANZECC Guidelines) criteria for surface water and groundwater monitoring.
- National Health and Medical Research Council (2004) Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (NHMRC Guidelines) – criteria for groundwater monitoring.

5.4.5 SURFACE WATER MONITORING

The Water Management Plan for the site describes the surface water management measures that are to be implemented by site operations. To measure the effectiveness of these measures the Water Management Plan prescribes a surface water monitoring program. A description of this program is provided in Table 13 below, with the monitoring point locations identified on Figure 4 of Appendix A. A summary of the results from the surface water monitoring conducted in 2020 is detailed in Table 15 and the detailed results are located in Appendix D Table 1 and 2. Graphs of the monitoring results are shown in Appendix F.

There was one instance on December 25 2020 where the weekly monitoring of the Water Reuse Dam (MP7) was not completed due to the monitoring



person being unavailable on this day. As a result it was communicated with the Quarry Manager that a second person is to be available to undertake the monitoring in the event that the existing monitoring person is not able to undertake the monitoring.

5.4.6 CHANGES TO SURFACE WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS

Unfortunately Richmond Quarry no longer has access to Monitoring Points MP3 and MP4 as detailed in Table 14 below. The landowner where MP3 and MP4 are located has denied access to these points in writing. Richmond Quarry has made changes to the existing environmental monitoring program to ensure that any impacts from quarry operations to the environment on-site and the surrounding areas is still captured on an ongoing basis within areas that can be accessed. The changes are detailed below in Table 14 and can be viewed on Figure 4 of Appendix A.

| Monitoring Point | Type of Monitoring Point | Monitoring Frequency | | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| MP1 | Surface water monitoring – upstream on Tucki Tucki Creek – 1.5 km from site. | Quarterly | | |
| MP2 | Surface water monitoring – downstream – 1.5 km from site. | Quarterly | | |
| MP3 | Surface water monitoring – on-site watercourse. Removed. | Quarterly (when water levels permit) | | |
| MP4 | Surface water monitoring – downstream of operational quarry. | Quarterly (when water levels permit) | | |
| MP5 | Water Reuse Dam – near discharge point on the north-western corner. | Quarterly | | |
| MP6 | Discharge Quality of stormwater overflow on the Water Reuse Dam - near discharge point on the north-western corner. | Prior to being discharged to receiving watercourses and daily while discharging | | |
| MP7 | Water Reuse Dam (pH only) - near discharge point on the north-western corner. | Weekly | | |

Table 13: Overview of Surface Water Monitoring Locations and Frequency



| Table 14: Changes to Surface | e Water Monitoring Locations |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|------------------------------|------------------------------|

| Monitoring Point | Description | Action | Reasoning |
|---------------------|--|---|--|
| MP3 | Tucki Tucki swamp downstream of MP4 and quarry operations | Remove monitoring point | MP3 is located downstream of MP4. Any surface water contamination issues arising from quarry operations will be picked up upstream at MP4. The removal of MP3 as a downstream monitoring point should not detract from the overall surface water monitoring program of the site. In case of a significant contamination event, MP2 is used to monitor further downstream of MP3. It is also noted that MP3 is regularly dry, with only 2 samples of monitoring data able to be obtained since monitoring began in 2014. |
| MP4 | Adjacent to quarry land within Lot 2 DP1191905 | Adjacent to previous monitoring point – moved to within Lot 5 DP1191905 | MP4 has been relocated a short distance upstream of the current monitoring point onto Lot 5 DP1191905. The change of location should result in negligible change to the monitoring data obtained by the existing monitoring point. The upstream change should assist in reducing contamination from cow manure in the stream at the existing downstream location. |



Table 15: Surface Water Quality Parameters and Assessment Criteria

| Parameters Analysed | Unit | ANZECC 2000 Trigger Values for Freshwater | Monitoring Points not meeting standards | Reasoning / Actions Taken | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | MONITORING POINTS 1-5 NOTE: | | | | | | |
| During 2020 MP3 d | and MP4 | | ater to take sam | pples during the four monitoring periods. | | | |
| pH (units) | - | 6.5-8.5 | MP5 and MP2 | to 6pH. The washing of sand onsite may have influenced the reduction of pH levels in the Reuse Pond to under 5 during 2018 and quarter 1 2019. To address this drop in pH Agricultural Lime was added to the pond to increase the ponds pH to above 6.5 to meet the sites trigger value. The establishment of site specific trigger levels will assist in defining pH levels more reflective of the local conditions. MP5 is the same location as MP7 within the site's Water Reuse Dam. The dam pH is routinely monitored on a weekly basis as MP7, with a discussion on the results provided further below. MP2 has historically had pH levels recorded that border above or below the lower nominated pH criteria of 6.5. The results of MP2 in 2020 were similar to the upstream water sample collected at MP1. | | | |
| Conductivity | (dS/m) | 0.350 | Meets standards | All surface water monitoring points were below the criteria for conductivity. | | | |
| Nitrate (NO3) | (mg/L) | 0.7 | Meets standards | All surface water monitoring points were below the criteria for nitrate. | | | |



| Parameters Analysed | Unit | ANZECC 2000 Trigger Values for Freshwater | Monitoring Points not meeting standards | Reasoning / Actions Taken |
|---------------------|--------|---|--|---|
| Aluminium (Al) | (mg/L) | 0.055 | MP1, MP2 and MP5 | MP1 and MP2 levels in 2020 were lower than the recorded 2019 with levels measured above the lower limit of 0.055mg/L. MP1 is an upstream monitoring point, with no impact from quarry operations. The levels in MP2 are consistent with the data collected in the previous years and with baseline data collected from 2008 and Environmental Assessment predictions. Historical observations of Aluminium in MP5 have shown levels that are above the ANZECC Guidelines. Following the addition of Agricultural Lime to the Reuse Pond in Quarter 4 2019, the Aluminium levels dropped to a four year low of below 0.1mg/L. In 2020 Aluminium levels have continued to remain below 2019 levels since the suspension of site activities in May 2019. The Aluminium levels will continue to be monitored and further investigations will be initiated in the event that the aluminium levels rise significantly above previous observed levels. |
| Total Arsenic (As) | (mg/L) | 0.024 | Meets standards | All surface water monitoring points were below the criteria for Arsenic. |
| Cadmium (Cd) | (mg/L) | 0.0002 | Meets standards | 2020 Cadmium levels have continued to remain below 2019 levels since the suspension of site activities in May 2019. |
| Total Chromium (Cr) | (mg/L) | Not Specified ¹ | Meets standards | In 2020 Chromium levels have remained below 2019 levels since the suspension of site activities in May 2019. |
| Copper (Cu) | (mg/L) | 0.0014 | MP2 | Copper levels at MP5 have remained below the nominated criteria throughout 2020. In Quarter 3 and 4 MP2 Copper levels measured above the nominated criteria for copper. These levels were similar to the upstream results taken at MP1 and previous years readings. Copper levels will continue to be monitored and further investigations will be initiated in the event that the levels rise above previous observed levels. |
| Mercury (Hg) | (mg/L) | 0.0006 | Meets standards | All surface water monitoring points were below the nominated criteria for mercury. |
| Nickel (Ni) | (mg/L) | 0.011 | Meets standards | In 2020 Nickel levels have continued to remain below the 2019 levels since the suspension of site activities in May 2019. Nickel levels will continue to be monitored and further investigations will be initiated in the event that the levels rise above previous observed levels. |



| Parameters Analysed | Unit | ANZECC 2000 Trigger Values for Freshwater | Monitoring Points not meeting standards | Reasoning / Actions Taken |
|---------------------|--------|---|--|---|
| Lead (Pb) | (mg/L) | 0.0034 | Meets standards | In 2020 Lead levels have continued to remain below the Quarter 1 2019 level. Lead levels will continue to be monitored and further investigations will be initiated in the event that the levels rise above previous observed levels. |
| Zinc (Zn) | (mg/L) | 0.008 | MP5 and MP1. | During quarters 1, 2 and 3 of 2020 the levels of Zinc at MP5 were well below the criteria and 2019 levels. In Quarter 4 the level of Zinc at MP5 was above the criteria level. The increase in Zinc at MP5 for this quarter cannot be attributed to any specific factor and may be a testing anomaly as the site is currently not operational since the suspension in May 2019. The elevated result in MP1 during Quarter 4 has no influence from the quarry operations as the test point is upstream of the quarry. The Elevated result in MP2 in Quarter 2 cannot be attributed to any specific factor and may also be a testing anomaly as the site is currently not operational since the suspension in May 2019. Zinc levels will continue to be monitored and further investigations will be initiated in the event that the levels rise above previous observed levels. |
| MONITORING POINT 7 | | • | | |
| pH (units) | - | 6.5-8.5 (EPL 20562 and Water Management Plan) | MP7 | MP7 is tested weekly for pH with results ranging from 5.41-7.42 during 2020. Historical pH levels have consistently been recorded below the lower pH criteria of 6.5 within MP7. In 2019 the washing of sand onsite may have influenced the pH levels in the Reuse Pond to lower the pH to under 5. To address the lowering pH in 2019, Agricultural Lime was added to the pond to increase the ponds pH to above 6.5 to meet the sites trigger value. Addressing the pH in the pond may also assist in reducing the levels of dissolved metals within the pond water. Following the suspension of quarrying activities in May 2019 the pH levels in the dam have been measured both above and below the lower nominated criteria pH of 6.5. |

ANZECC Guidelines do not specify a trigger value for total chromium (Cr) due to insufficient data. This will be established as part of the baseline criteria.



5.4.7 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

The Water Management Plan details a groundwater management plan for the site. The groundwater management plan describes the groundwater monitoring program for the site, with a summary provided below in Table 16 and the groundwater bore locations provided in Figure 4 of Appendix A.

| Table 16: Overview of Groundwater Mon | itoring Locations and Frequency |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | |

| Monitoring Point | Type of Monitoring Point | Monitoring Frequency |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 8 | Groundwater level and quality monitoring – previously BH3 | Quarterly |
| 9 | Groundwater level and quality monitoring – previously BH5 | Quarterly |
| 10 | Groundwater level and quality monitoring – previously BH6 | Quarterly |
| 11 | Groundwater level only – windmill/bore | Quarterly |
| 12 | Groundwater level and quality monitoring – previously BH7 | Quarterly |

Available Groundwater bores were sampled on a quarterly basis during 2020. MP8 and MP11 were not able to be sampled due to no access to the area.

A summary of the results from the groundwater monitoring conducted in 2020 is detailed in Table 18 and the detailed results are located in Appendix D Table 3. Graphs of the monitoring results are shown in Appendix F.

Until site specific trigger values have been established for the groundwater monitoring bores, Richmond Quarry uses the ANZECC trigger values for freshwater and the NHMRC Drinking Water Guidelines as a baseline for monitoring data.

During 2020, groundwater monitoring data (excluding pH) met the criteria for the NHMRC Drinking Water Guidelines and only minor exceedances were recorded against the ANZECC trigger values. The pH for the surrounding areas surface and groundwater is well established to be slightly acidic. Groundwater monitoring data for pH was lower than the criteria set by both of the guidelines.

5.4.8 CHANGES TO GROUND WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS

Unfortunately Richmond Quarry no longer has access to Monitoring Points MP11 and MP8. The landowner where MP11 and MP8 are located has denied access to these points in writing. Richmond Quarry has made changes to the existing environmental monitoring program to ensure that any impacts from quarry operations to the environment on-site and the surrounding areas is still captured on an ongoing basis within areas that can be accessed. The changes are detailed below in Table 17 – Changes to Ground Water Monitoring Locations and Figure 4 of Appendix A.



| Monitoring Point | Description | Action | Reasoning |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| MP11 | Windmill within Lot 2 DP1191905 | Remove monitoring point | MP11 is used to measure the groundwater height only and due to contamination issues is unsuitable to be used for groundwater quality monitoring. MP11 is now outside of the quarry land and is not permitted to be accessed by the land owner. In 2015 MP12 was added to the site's monitoring program to monitor the height and quality of downstream groundwater in lieu of – MP11. The addition of MP12 to the site's groundwater monitoring program removed the requirement for the height levels to be monitored at MP11. |
| MP8 | East of quarry operations | Remove monitoring point | Access is no longer permitted on the surrounding quarry land, including Lots 2 and 3 of DP 1191905. As a result, Richmond Quarry staff no longer have access to MP8 for groundwater monitoring. MP10 is located south of MP8 and will continue to be monitored to assess the areas groundwater quality and level. |



| Parameters Analysed | Unit | ANZECC 2000 Trigger Values for Freshwater | NHMRC Drinking Water Guidelines | Monitoring Points not meeting standards | Reasoning / Actions Taken |
|------------------------|---------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| pH (units) | | 6.5-8.5 | 6.5-8.5 | MP9, MP10, MP12 | The pH at all groundwater bores has been consistently below the ANZECC Guidelines. The range in pH for each of the groundwater bores during 2020 has not changed significantly from previous years with result ranges provided below: • MP9: pH 5.23 – 5.37 • MP10: pH 4.37 – 4.58 • MP 12: pH 4.79 – 5.39 MP12 was established in 2015 and initially recorded pH levels within the ANZECC Guidelines, however these have since reduced to a lower range of 4.79 – 5.39 during 2020, this range is reflective of the lower groundwater pH recorded in the surrounding area and MP10 and MP9. The Environmental Assessment noted that the pH of nearby soil and receiving waters are mildly acidic pH 4.5 – pH 5.3. The natural acidic soil conditions encountered at the Site and subsequent influence on groundwater may require that maintenance of ambient condition is the preferred water quality goal rather than the neutral conditions set out in the ANZECC Guidelines. The establishment of site specific trigger levels will assist in defining pH levels more reflective of the local conditions. |
| Conductivity | (d\$/m) | 0.350 | n/s | MP12 | The conductivity values for MP12 have mostly remained over the ANZECC Guidelines for freshwater since sampling commenced. MP9 and MP10 has consistently remained below the nominated criteria. These values will be continued to be monitored and further investigations will be initiated in the event that the conductivity levels continue to rise above previous observed levels. |
| Nitrate (NO3) | (mg/L) | 0.7 | 50 | Meets standards | All groundwater monitoring bores were below the criteria for Nitrate in 2020. MP9 showed a small increase in each quarter compared with 2019 results. |
| Aluminium (Al) | (mg/L) | 0.055 | 0.2 | MP10 and MP9 | During 2020, the Aluminium levels in MP12 consistently were |



| Parameters Analysed | Unit | ANZECC 2000 Trigger Values for Freshwater | NHMRC Drinking Water Guidelines | Monitoring Points not meeting standards | Reasoning / Actions Taken | |
|------------------------|--------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | within the ANZECC Guidelines. MP10 did not meet the ANZECC Guidelines in all Quarters and MP9 did not meet the Guideline in Quarter 2. The Aluminium levels recorded at the groundwater bores during 2020 were consistent with previous historical monitoring data. | |
| Total Arsenic (As) | (mg/L) | 0.024 | 0.01 | Meets standards | All groundwater monitoring bores were below the criteria for arsenic. | |
| Cadmium (Cd) | (mg/L) | 0.0002 | 0.002 | Meets standards | All groundwater monitoring bores were below the criteria for Cadmium. | |
| Total Chromium (Cr) | (mg/L) | Not Specified ¹ | 0.054 | Meets standards | All groundwater monitoring bores were below the criteria for Chromium. | |
| Copper (Cu) | (mg/L) | 0.0014 | 2 | MP9, MP10, MP12 | | |
| Mercury (Hg) | (mg/L) | 0.0006 | 0.001 | Meets standards | All groundwater monitoring bores were below the criteria for Mercury. | |
| Nickel (Ni) | (mg/L) | 0.011 | 0.02 | Meets standards | All groundwater monitoring bores were below the criteria for Nickel. | |
| Lead (Pb) | (mg/L) | 0.0034 | 0.01 | Meets standards | All groundwater monitoring bores were below the criteria f | |
| Zinc (Zn) | (mg/L) | 0.008 | 3 | MP9, MP10, MP12 | During 2020, the Zinc levels for MP9, MP10 and MP12 exceeded the ANZECC trigger values in all four quarters. The levels were within the range of previous historical monitoring data. The results for MP12 were lower than the readings recorded in 2019. Zinc levels will be continued to be | |



| Parameters Analysed | Unit | ANZECC 2000 Trigger Values for Freshwater | NHMRC Drinking Water Guidelines | Monitoring Points not meeting standards | Reasoning / Actions Taken |
|------------------------|------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | monitored and further investigations will be initiated in the event that the levels rise above previous observed levels. |

ANZECC Guidelines do not specify a trigger value for total chromium (Cr) due to insufficient data. This will be established as part of the baseline criteria.



6.0 REHABILITATION PERFORMANCE

The quarries Landscape Management Plan (v3.1) that details the approach for the management of site rehabilitation and biodiversity offsets throughout the sites life. The sites rehabilitation objectives are detailed in Table 19 below.

The ongoing rehabilitation strategy for the quarry is a progressive approach. Rehabilitation activities will commence in areas no longer required by the quarry, such as where excavation activities are complete and the area is not required for processing purposes. This approach will allow rehabilitation to occur alongside excavation activities, resulting in vegetation being established in different areas (cells) of the site as areas become available following completion of excavation. It is anticipated that at any one time up to 2 x 3 hectare extraction cells will be operational plus the Central Extraction Area processing area. The overall objective of the rehabilitation plan is to develop a relatively weed free, functional ecosystem that provides ecological services to maintain and enhance fauna populations.

| Feature | Objective | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Site (as a whole) | Safe, stable and non-polluting | | | | | |
| Surface Infrastructure | To be decommissioned and removed, unless the Secretary | | | | | |
| | agrees otherwise | | | | | |
| Benched Quarry Walls | Landscaped with native endemic flora species | | | | | |
| Quarry Pit Floors | Suitable for grazing | | | | | |
| Other land affected by the Project | Restore ecosystem function, including maintaining or establishing self-sustaining eco-systems comprised of: native endemic species; and a landform consistent with the surrounding environment | | | | | |

Table 19: Rehabilitation Objectives

7.0 **BIODIVERSITY**

The Biodiversity Offsets requirements are detailed in the Landscape Management Plan, with the location of the offset areas provided in Figure 2 in Appendix A.

In accordance with Condition 46(3), Richmond Quarry submitted a revised calculation and documentation for the Conservation and Rehabilitation Bond to the DPI&E for approval on the 31st of August 2018. The Department of Planning and Environment reviewed the submission and was satisfied with submission approving the Conservation and Rehabilitation Bond. Final lodgement of the bond was made on the 16th of October 2018.

8.0 COMMUNITY

8.1 COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

In accordance with Condition 6(5), Richmond Quarry has a Community Consultative Committee (CCC) to provide a mechanism for open and effective communication with local community members. The CCC held a meeting on 24 September 2018 to discuss site operations, complaints and the transition from Champions Quarry to Richmond Quarry. A copy of the CCC 2018 meeting minutes is publicly available on the Richmond Quarry website.



Due to the suspension of quarrying operations on 22 May 2019 the Richmond Quarry did not hold a committee meeting during 2020. Richmond Quarry distributed a community letter to all residents within a 2km radius of the quarry updating the residents on the quarry suspension and the associated ongoing movement of materials from existing stockpiles. A copy of community letter is shown in Appendix G.

No community contributions were made during 2020.

8.2 COMPLAINTS REGISTER

Richmond Quarry maintains a complaints register that is publicly available on the Richmond Quarry website. During 2020, there were no complaints made to the quarry.

9.0 INDEPENDENT ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

In 2018 an Independent Environmental Audit was conducted by GHD. As per the Project Approval 09_0080, an Independent Environmental Audit (IEA) is required to be completed every three years.

The Independent Environmental Audit Report, December 2018 and Response to Recommendations are available on the Richmond Quarry website. Appendix C addresses progress of the Response to Audit Recommendations from the 2018 Audit.

10.0 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

Table 20: Statement of Compliance

| Were all conditions of the relevant approval c | omplied with? |
|--|---------------|
| Part 3A Project Approval 09_0080 | No |

11.0 NON COMPLIANCE

In 2020 there were no non compliances recorded relating to the number of truck movements in an hour / day at the quarry. A single non-compliance was recorded when the MP7 weekly pH monitoring was not completed on the 25th of December. A summary of these non-compliances is provided in Table 21.



Table 21: Non Compliance Summary

| Relevant Approval | Condition # | Condition Description (summary) | | Compliance status | Comment | Where addressed in Annual Review |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----|----------------------|--|--|
| MP 09_0080 | Schedule 3 10, Condition 17 | | be | Non-compliant | One instance on December 25 2020 where the weekly monitoring was not completed due to the monitoring person being unavailable to complete monitoring. | Section 5.4.5 |

Compliance status key for above table

| Risk Level | Colour Code | Description |
|----------------|---------------|---|
| High | Non-compliant | Non-compliance with potential for significant environmental consequences, regardless of |
| | | the likelihood of occurrence |
| Medium | Non-compliant | Non-compliance with: |
| | | potential for serious environmental consequences, but is unlikely to occur; or |
| | | potential for moderate environmental consequences, but is likely to occur |
| Low | Non-compliant | Non-compliance with: |
| | | potential for moderate environmental consequences, but is unlikely to occur; or |
| | | potential for low environmental consequences, but is likely to occur. |
| Administrative | Non-compliant | Only to be applied where the non-compliance does not result in any risk of environmental |
| non-compliance | | harm (e.g. submitting a report to government later than required under approval conditions) |



11.1 TRUCK MOVEMENTS

Condition 9, Schedule 2 restricts the number of daily truck movements to 50 and only permits 5 truck movements to occur in any one hour.

Hourly Truck Movements

During 2020 there were zero instances where there were more than 5 truck movements within 1 hour during the reporting period.

Daily Truck Movements

During 2020 there were zero instances when more than 50 trucks were dispatched in a day.

11.2 OPERATING HOURS

During the reporting period, there was zero non-compliances in the permitted operating hours of the site.

11.3 TRANSPORT MONITORING

Condition 30A, Schedule 3 requires records to be maintained for the time of dispatch, weight of load and vehicle identification of each laden truck dispatched from the Quarry. Overall; there were zero truck dispatches from the site during the reporting period. There was zero non-compliance in regard to Condition 30A, Schedule 3 in 2020.



APPENDIX A – MAPPING

Figure 1: Richmond Quarry – Regional Location







Figure 2: Project Layout (extract from Appendix 6 of Project Approval 09_0080)







RICHMOND

Figure 4: Environmental Monitoring Locations



RICHMOND QUARRY – Site Environmental Monitoring Locations

Groundwater Monitoring Bores
Surface Water Monitoring Locations
Dust Monitoring Location - D1
Historical Monitoring ID's
MPPE BHS MP12= BHS
MP12= BHS





APPENDIX B – SITE PRODUCTION DATA

Production Data for the 2019/2020 Financial Year

Extractive Materials Return 2019-2020

Form S1 – Period Ending 30 June 2020

Quote RIMS ID in all correspondence

Quarry Id:

Operators Name: GSQ HOLDINGS PTY LTD Address: PO BOX 642 LISMORE NSW 2480

Email: compliance@solo.com.au Quarry Name: RICHMOND QUARRY Quarry Address: 1586 WYRALLAH RD, TUCKURIMBA NSW 2480

Rims ID: 400494

Inquiries please telephone: (02) 4063 6713 Completed or Nil Returns

Regional

Email – mineral.royalty@planning.nsw.gov.au Postal Address (see below)

Please amend name, postal address and location of mine or quarry if incorrect or incomplete.

The return should be completed and forwarded to Senior Advisory Officer, RESOURCE ECONOMICS, RESOURCE PLANNING & PROJECTS, DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL NSW, PO BOX 344 HUNTER REGION MAIL CENTRE NSW 2310 on or before 31 October 2020. If completion of the return is unavoidably delayed, an application for extension of time should be requested before the due date. If no work was done during the year, a NIL return must be forwarded.

The return should relate to the above quarrying establishment and should cover the operations of quarrying and treatment (such as crushing, screening, washing etc.) carried out at or near the quarry. A return is required even if the operations are solely of a developmental nature and whether the area being worked is held under a mining title or otherwise.

| | Director, Resource Flamming & Flojects |
|--|---|
| Please complete all the | he following information to assist in identifying the location of the Quarry |
| Typical Geology | Sandstone |
| Nearest Town to Quarry | Lismore |
| Local Council Name | Lismore City Council |
| Deposited Plan and Lot Number | /s of Quarry: Lot 5 DP 1191905 |
| Email Address of Operator | compliance@solo.com.au; info@richmondquarry.com.au |
| Name of Owner or Licensee | GSQ HOLDINGS PTY LTD |
| Postal Address of Licensee | PO Box 642, Lismore NSW 2480 |
| Licence/Lease Number/s (if any) From Mining, Exploration From Crown Lands or oth | & Geoscience (NSW Mineral Resources) NA |
| | land NOT held under licence from the above Departments, state the Name/s and Address/es of |
| To the best of my knowledge, in | formation entered in this return is correct and no blank spaces left where figures should have been \mathcal{L} / |
| inserted.SIGNATURE of PROP | RIETOR or MANAGER |

CONTACT PERSON for this return
 MR MICHAEL BARNES

NAME MR MICHAEL BARNES Telephone (02) 6621 7431



Regional

Extractive Materials Return 2019-2020



Form S1 – Period Ending 30 June 2020

Sales During 2019-2020

Production information may be published in aggregated form for statistical reporting. However, production data for individual operations is kept strictly confidential.

| Product | Description | Quantity Tonnes |
|---|--|-----------------|
| Virgin Materials Crushed Coarse Aggregates | | |
| Over 75mm | SANDSTONE | 567.7 |
| Over 30mm to 75mm | | |
| 5mm to 30mm | SANDSTONE | 323.25 |
| Under 5mm | WASHED SAND | 259.25 |
| Natural Sand | | |
| Manufactured Sand | | |
| Prepared Road Base & Sub Base | SANDSTONE ROAD BASE | 615.25 |
| Other Unprocessed Materials | | |
| Recycled Materials Crushed Coarse Aggregates | | |
| Over 75mm | | |
| Over 30mm to 75mm | | |
| 5mm to 30mm | | |
| Under 5mm | | |
| Natural Sand | | |
| Manufactured Sand | | |
| Prepared Road Base & Sub Base | | |
| Other Unprocessed Materials | | |
| River Gravel | | |
| Over 30mm | | |
| 5mm to 30mm | | |
| Under 5mm | | |
| Construction Sand | Excluding Industrial | |
| Industrial Sand | | |
| Foundry, Moulding | | |
| Glass | | |
| Other (Specify) | | |
| Dimension Stone | Building, Ornamental, Monumental | |
| Quarried in Blocks | | |
| Quarried in Slabs | | |
| Decorative Aggregate | Including Terrazzo | |
| Loam | Soil for Topdressing, Garden soil, Horticultural purposes) | 75.90 |
| TOTAL SITE PRODUCTION | | 1841.35 |
| Gross Value (\$) of all Sales | | \$30,382.00 |
| Type of Material | | |
| Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Employees | Employees 5 | Contractors |


APPENDIX C – INDEPENDENT ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Response to 2018 Independent Environmental Audit Recommendations

Table A: Corrective Actions

| # | Condition | Corrective Action | Response | Timeframe | Progress | Completion |
|-------|---|---|--|--|--|------------|
| CAR 1 | Project Approval, Condition 14, Schedule 2 | Confirm the demountable building and shed have been constructed in accordance with the BCA and obtain construction and occupation certificates | Richmond Quarry has engaged a building certifier to obtain the necessary approvals to comply with the Building Code of Australia. | Initial: 31 March 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | Building certifier engaged to manage building approvals. Initial inspection of buildings complete. | |
| CAR 2 | Project Approval, Condition 2, Schedule 3 | Install boundary pegs that are clear and permanent, so limits of extraction areas are easy to identify | Surveyor to checked and replace any missing pegs in the Southern and Central Extraction Areas onsite. Site to install coloured PVC Pipes to enable easy identification and protect the locations of survey pegs onsite. | Completed | Surveyor has checked and replaced missing pegs in Southern and Central Extraction Areas onsite. Coloured PVC pipes in have been installed. | Completed |
| CAR 3 | Project Approval, Condition 6, Schedule 3 | Reinforce operating hours to employees | Toolbox Meeting to be held to reinforce operating hours to site employees. | Completed | Completed. | Completed |
| CAR 4 | Project Approval, Condition 16, Schedule 3 | Store chemicals in accordance with Condition 16, Schedule 3 | Chemicals and Petroleum to be stored in accordance with Australian Standard AS1940-2004, The Storage and Handling of Flammable and Combustible Liquids. Additional bunds to be obtained to ensure all liquids are bunded and to prevent crowding. Obtain a large bund adequate to store the waste oil IBC. Ensure Chemicals and Petroleum storage has the required signage / placarding in place. Obtain a designated spill kit for the chemical storage area. | Completed | Matt Duff has implemented changes to bunding and storage onsite. Correct segregation and signage / placarding completed. | Completed |
| CAR 5 | Project Approval, Condition 19, Schedule 3 | Obtain confirmation from the Secretary that they are satisfied with the works required by Condition 19, Schedule 3 | Current Quarry works completed by Richmond Quarry are currently restricted to the Progression 1 Area as defined in the Project Approval. Obtain confirmation from the Secretary that DPI&E are satisfied with the construction of Bunds A – D. | Initial: 30 June 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | Russell Currie to obtain a plan of bunds in approval versus constructed onsite. Matt Duff to address the requirement that the bunds are established and vegetated (with | |



| # | Condition | Corrective Action | Response | Timeframe | Progress | Completion |
|-----------|---|---|--|-----------|---|------------|
| | | | | | grasses, native endemic shrubs and trees) and provide evidence. Matt Duff to address the establishment of vegetated screening of planted trees to the north of the access road and provide evidence. Russell Currie to write to DPI&E following collation of above evidence from Matt Duff. | |
| CAR 6 | Project Approval, Condition 1, Schedule 4 | Notify the affected landowners when exceedances of monitoring criteria occur | No Noise / Dust Exceedances have occurred since the change of ownership from Champions Quarry to Richmond Quarry. Per the Noise and Air Quality Management Plans any exceedances will be notified to the affected landholders in writing. | Completed | Completed | Completed |
| CAR 7 | Project Approval, Condition 1A, Schedule 5 | Where required by the conditions, provide evidence of consultation with public authorities, any comments and how the comments have been addressed, as per Condition 1A, Schedule 5 | Provide evidence to the DPI&E showing consultation with public authorities, specifically where required by site consent / licence requirements. | Completed | Russell Currie wrote to DPI&E and provided evidence of consultation with public authorities. | Completed |
| CAR 8 | Project Approval, Condition 2, Schedule 5 | Notify the Secretary when exceedances of monitoring criteria occur | No Noise / Dust Exceedances have occurred since the change of ownership from Champions Quarry to Richmond Quarry. Per the Noise and Air Quality Management Plans any exceedances will be reported to the secretary. | Completed | Completed | Completed |
| CAR 9 | Project Approval, Condition 4, Schedule 5 | Submit the Annual Review by the end of March each year and include all of the requirements of Condition 4, Schedule 5. | 2018 Annual Review will be submitted by 31 March 2019 for the 2018 year. Annual review will be in accordance with Condition 4, Schedule 5 of the Project Approval. | | Annual Review report submitted to DPI&E by 31 March 2019 | Completed. |
| CAR 10 | Project Approval, Condition 5, Schedule 5 | Review management plans as required by Condition 5, Schedule 5 and submit to the Secretary within the specified timeframes | No Management Plan reviews have been required prior to the audit since the change of ownership from Champions Quarry to Richmond Quarry. Management plan reviews will be undertaken in accordance with Condition 5 of Schedule 5 of the Project Approval. Any Management Plan reviews that cannot be achieved within the 3 month period will | Completed | Completed | Completed |



| # | Condition | on Corrective Action Response | | Timeframe | Progress | Completion |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | | | require a request for extension to be submitted to the | | | |
| | | | Secretary for approval. | | | |
| CAR | Project | Report incidents to the | No Noise / Dust Exceedances have occurred since the | Completed | Completed | Completed |
| 11 | Approval, | Secretary and other | change of ownership from Champions Quarry to | | | |
| | Condition 7, | relevant agencies within | Richmond Quarry. Per the Noise and Air Quality | | | |
| | Schedule 5 | seven days of the | Management Plans any exceedances will be reported | | | |
| | | incident | to the secretary. | | | |

Table B: Recommended Actions

| # | Condition | Recommendation | Response | Timeframe | Progress | Completion |
|-------|--------------------------------|---|--|---|---|------------|
| REC 1 | Air Quality Management Plan | Revise the Air Quality Management Plan to include the new dust monitoring location. It is also recommended to include a figure showing the monitoring location. | The Air Quality Management Plan is to be reviewed and updated to include the revised dust monitoring location. A figure showing the new location to be provided in the plan. | Completed | Air Quality Management Plan revised to include the new dust monitoring location and a figure showing the monitoring location. | Completed |
| REC 2 | Landscape Management Plan | Update the Landscape Management Plan to clarify what is required in regards to rehabilitation | Review and update the Landscape Management Plan to define the rehabilitation to be undertaken in relation to the updated site progression plans. | Initial: 30 September 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | | |
| REC 3 | Landscape Management Plan | Undertake the monitoring and reporting outlined in the Landscape Management Plan to monitor the success of the rehabilitation and identify where remedial action is necessary | Review and update the Landscape Management Plan to accurately define the rehabilitation reporting and monitoring requirements for the site. Develop and implement monitoring and reporting forms. | Initial: 30 September 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | | |
| REC 4 | Landscape Management Plan | Engage a surveyor to re-establish/re-mark the pegs delineating the rehabilitation areas | Surveyor to check and replace any missing pegs in the Biodiversity Offset Areas and the Protected Revegetation Area onsite. Site to install coloured PVC Pipes to enable easy identification and protect the locations of survey pegs onsite. | Completed | Surveyor has re- established /re- marked the pegs delineating the rehabilitation areas onsite. Placement of PVC | Completed |



| # | Condition | Recommendation | Response | Timeframe | Progress | Completion |
|--------|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|------------|
| | | | | | pipes completed. | |
| REC 5 | Noise Management Plan | Revise the Noise Management Plan to include the new noise monitoring location. It is also recommended to include a figure showing the monitoring location | Review and update the Noise Management Plan to include any updated noise management locations. Figure showing the monitoring locations to be included in the plan. | Initial: 30 September 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | | |
| REC 6 | Transport Management Plan | Consult with RMS during the review of the Transport Management Plan | RMS to be consulted during the review / update of the transport management plan. | Initial: 30 September 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | | |
| REC 7 | Transport Management Plan | Maintain the new truck monitoring system to ensure it captures all the information required and prevents further incidents in regards to truck movements | Transport Management Plan to be updated to include the revised truck monitoring system. | Initial: 30 September 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | | |
| REC 8 | Waste Management Plan | Introduce a system to encourage recycling of waste products | A domestic recycling service is to be implemented to the site starting the 17th of December. Used oil filters will also be collected and recycled. | Completed | Domestic recycling service introduced onsite. | Completed |
| REC 9 | Water Management Plan | Review the Water Management Plan sediment basin calculations to ensure they are in accordance with Managing Urban Stormwater Soils and Construction – Volume 2e Mines and quarries (DECC, 2008) and EPL. It is also recommended the calculations be done for individual stages | Review the Water Management Plan sediment basin calculations to ensure are designed, installed and maintained in accordance with Managing Urban Stormwater Soils and Construction – Volume 2e Mines and quarries (DECC, 2008) and EPL. Calculations to take into account progression plans for the site. | Initial: 31 October 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | | |
| REC 10 | Water Management Plan | Develop and implement a procedure to record that sediment basins are monitored and maintained appropriately | Weekly Surface Water Field Sheet IMS-ENVM-F- 3746-RQ updated to monitor the condition of the surface water ponds onsite. | Completed | Weekly Surface Water Field Sheet IMS-ENVM-F-3746- RQ updated. | Completed |
| REC 11 | Water Management Plan | Review erosion and sediment controls across the site to ensure that they provide adequate protection and are installed and maintained in accordance with DECC | Review the Water Management Plan sediment and erosion controls, ensure are installed and maintained in accordance with Managing Urban Stormwater Soils and Construction – | Completed | Site Erosion and Sediment Controls reviewed and improvement | Completed |



| # | Condition | Recommendation | Response | Timeframe | Progress | Completion |
|--------|--|---|---|--|--|------------|
| | | 2008 | Volume 2e Mines and quarries (DECC, 2008) and EPL. | | works completed onsite. | |
| REC 12 | Project Approval, Condition 7, Schedule 2 | Survey and peg the boundary of all approved Extraction Areas and the quarry floor on a periodic basis to demonstrate compliance with Condition 7, Schedule 2 | Surveyor to checked and replace any missing pegs on the boundary of the approved extraction areas. Pegs to be placed near operational areas to mark the maximum extraction depth in the extraction areas. | Initial: 31 January 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | Surveyors engaged to mark the extraction design and maximum extraction depth. | |
| REC 13 | Project Approval, Condition 13, Schedule 3 | Revise the Water Management Plan to update the water budget with consideration that the proposed Water Supply Dam is no longer an option. | Water Management Plan to be reviewed / updated to consider the onsite water balance. | Initial: 31 October 2019 Revised: On hold due to suspension of quarry activities. | | |
| REC 14 | Project Approval Condition 38, Schedule 3 | Implement and record the routine inspections of Tuckean Swamp and Tucki Tucki Creek | Add inspection / observation of Tuckean Swamp and Tucki Tucki Creek onto Quarterly Surface Monitoring Checklist and undertake observation at planned February monitoring. | Completed | Inspection of Tuckean Swamp and Tucki Tucki Creek incorporated into Quarterly Monitoring Checklist. | Completed |
| REC 15 | Project Approval Condition 42, Schedule 3 | Obtain from DPE confirmation the Offset Strategy and Conservation and Rehabilitation Bond is the long term security required by Condition 42, Schedule 3 | Request sent to DPI&E confirming if the Offset Strategy and Conservation and Rehabilitation Bond is the long term security required by Condition 42, Schedule 3 | Completed | Letter received from DPI&E on 10 April 2019 confirming long term security of offsets. | Completed |



APPENDIX D – WATER MONITORING TABLES

Table 1: Surface Water Monitoring Results 2020

| | C 2000 Trigger /alues ¹ | 6.5- 8.5 ² | 0.350 (dS/m) | 0.7 (mg/L) | 0.055 (mg/L) | 0.024 (mg/L) | 0.0002 (mg/L) | n/s (mg/L) | 0.0014 (mg/L) | 0.0006 (mg/L) | 0.011 (mg/L) | No visible sheen or detectab le odour | 50 (mg/L) ³ | 0.0034 (mg/L) | 0.008 (mg/L) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Monit oring Point | Date | рН | Cond uctivit y | Nitrate (NO₃) | Alumi nium (Al) | Total Arsenic (As) | Cadmiu m (Cd) | Total Chromi um (Cr) | Copper (Cu) | Mercury (Hg) | Nickel (Ni) | Oil & Grease | Total Suspende d Solids | Lead (Pb) | Zinc (Zn) |
| MP1 | 02/04/2020 | 6.77 | 0.146 | 0.018 | 0.163 | <0.001 | < 0.0001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | None | 14 | <0.001 | 0.004 |
| | 26/06/2020 | 6.86 | 0.149 | 0.041 | 0.186 | <0.001 | < 0.0001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | None | 12 | <0.001 | 0.005 |
| | 24/09/2020 | 6.91 | 0.154 | <0.005 | 0.129 | <0.001 | <0.0002 | <0.001 | 0.001 | <0.0005 | <0.001 | None | 15 | <0.001 | 0.006 |
| | 14/01/2021 | 6.96 | 0.128 | 0.053 | 0.1 | <0.001 | <0.0002 | <0.001 | 0.001 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | None | 15 | <0.001 | 0.038 |
| MP2 | 02/04/2020 | 6.69 | 0.149 | 0.016 | 0.185 | <0.001 | < 0.0001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | None | 13 | <0.001 | 0.005 |
| | 26/06/2020 | 6.26 | 0.172 | 0.035 | 0.194 | <0.001 | < 0.0001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.0005 | 0.002 | None | 16 | <0.001 | 0.012 |
| | 24/09/2020 | 7.04 | 0.169 | <0.005 | 0.19 | <0.001 | <0.0002 | <0.001 | 0.002 | <0.0005 | <0.001 | None | 16 | <0.001 | 0.006 |
| | 14/01/2021 | 5.96 | 0.176 | <0.005 | 0.212 | <0.001 | <0.0002 | <0.001 | 0.002 | <0.0005 | 0.003 | None | 6 | <0.001 | 0.006 |
| MP3 | 02/04/2020 | No Ac | cess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 26/06/2020 | No Ac | cess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 24/09/2020 | No Ac | cess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 14/01/2021 | No Ac | cess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MP4 | 02/04/2020 | Insuffi | cient Wat | er Levels | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 26/06/2020 | Insuffi | cient Wat | er Levels | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 24/09/2020 | Insuffi | cient Wat | er Levels | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 14/01/2021 | Insuffi | cient Wat | er Levels | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MP5 | 02/04/2020 | 6.84 | 0.105 | 0.006 | 0.067 | <0.001 | < 0.0001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | < 0.0005 | 0.001 | None | 2 | <0.001 | 0.003 |
| | 26/06/2020 | 5.9 | 0.107 | <0.005 | 0.091 | <0.001 | < 0.0001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.0005 | 0.002 | None | 5 | <0.001 | 0.006 |
| | 24/09/2020 | 6.97 | 0.105 | <0.005 | 0.056 | <0.001 | <0.0002 | <0.001 | 0.001 | <0.0005 | 0.002 | None | 2 | <0.001 | 0.007 |
| | 14/01/2021 | 6.46 | 0.077 | <0.005 | 0.133 | <0.001 | < 0.0002 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.0005 | 0.002 | None | 5 | <0.001 | 0.046 |

1 Initially data will be compared against ANZECC Trigger Values with the aim to develop site specific trigger levels once a large enough baseline data set is available.

2 It is noted that the pH of nearby soil and receiving waters are mildly acidic pH4.5-pH5.3. Site specific pH trigger levels to be established once a large enough baseline data set is available.

3 ANZECC Guidelines do not specify a trigger value for total chromium (Cr) due to insufficient data. This will be established as part of the baseline criteria for the site.

4 EPL 20562 maximum level once the stormwater management system is constructed and operational. Exceedance permitted at overflow point for duration of overflow when wet weather overflow is occurring due to stormwater events ≥ 60.2mm in total falling over any consecutive 5 day period.

5 Data in bold indicates the data is outside the trigger levels.



Table 2: Water Reuse Dam (MP7) – pH Results 2020

| Date | рН | Comments |
|------------|------|--|
| 3/01/2020 | 7.12 | Comments |
| 10/01/2020 | 7.22 | |
| 17/01/2020 | 7.19 | |
| 24/01/2020 | 7.01 | |
| 31/01/2020 | 6.82 | |
| 7/02/2020 | 6.56 | |
| 14/02/2020 | 6.30 | |
| 21/02/2020 | 6.05 | |
| 28/02/2020 | 5.85 | |
| 6/03/2020 | 6.20 | |
| 13/03/2020 | 7.42 | |
| 20/03/2020 | 7.34 | |
| | 7.34 | |
| 27/03/2020 | | |
| 3/04/2020 | 7.27 | |
| 10/04/2020 | 6.89 | |
| 17/04/2020 | 6.91 | |
| 24/04/2020 | 6.95 | |
| 1/05/2020 | 6.87 | |
| 8/05/2020 | 6.85 | |
| 15/05/2020 | 6.71 | |
| 22/05/2020 | 6.73 | |
| 29/05/2020 | 6.69 | |
| 5/06/2020 | 6.54 | |
| 12/06/2020 | 6.28 | |
| 19/06/2020 | 5.93 | |
| 26/06/2020 | 5.91 | |
| 3/07/2020 | 5.87 | |
| 10/07/2020 | 5.82 | |
| 17/07/2020 | 5.75 | |
| 24/07/2020 | 5.69 | |
| 31/07/2020 | 6.52 | |
| 7/08/2020 | 6.54 | |
| 14/08/2020 | 6.61 | |
| 21/08/2020 | 6.59 | |
| 28/08/2020 | 6.72 | |
| 4/09/2020 | 6.75 | |
| 11/09/2020 | 6.78 | |
| 18/09/2020 | 7.14 | |
| 25/09/2020 | 6.89 | All sediment ponds cleaned out |
| 2/10/2020 | 6.77 | |
| 9/10/2020 | 6.52 | |
| 16/10/2020 | 6.31 | |
| 23/10/2020 | 6.27 | |
| 30/10/2020 | 6.03 | |
| 6/11/2020 | 5.96 | |
| 13/11/2020 | 5.82 | |
| 20/11/2020 | 5.74 | |
| 27/11/2020 | 5.65 | |
| 04/12/2020 | 5.47 | |
| 11/12/2020 | 5.41 | |
| 18/12/2020 | 5.53 | |
| 25/11/2020 | | Tester unavailable to retrieve sample. |
| · · · | | |



Table 3: Groundwater Monitoring Results 2020

| | C 2000 Trigger /alues1 | 6.5 - 8.5 3 | 0.35 | 0.7 | 0.055 | 0.024 | 0.0002 | n/s | 0.0014 | 0.0006 | 0.011 | 0.0034 | 0.008 | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Drinking Water idelines² | 6.5 - 8.5 3 | n/s | 50 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.05 | 2 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 3 | | |
| Monitori ng Point | Date | рН | Conducti vity (dS/m) | Nitrate (NO3) (mg/L) | Aluminiu m (Al) (mg/L) | Total Arsenic (As) (mg/L) | Cadmium (Cd) (mg/L) | Total Chromiu m (Cr) (mg/L) | Copper (Cu) (mg/L) | Mercur y (Hg) (mg/L) | Nickel (Ni) (mg/L) | Lead (Pb) (mg/L) | Zinc (Zn) (mg/L) | | |
| MP8 | 02/04/2020 | No Access | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 26/06/2020 | No Access | No Access | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 24/09/2020 | No Access | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 14/01/2020 | No Access | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MP9 | 02/04/2020 | 5.23 | 0.235 | 0.086 | 0.021 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | <0.001 | 0.002 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.011 | | |
| | 26/06/2020 | 5.31 | 0.208 | 0.043 | 0.068 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | <0.001 | 0.005 | <0.0005 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.01 | | |
| | 24/09/2020 | 5.32 | 0.276 | <0.005 | 0.02 | <0.001 | <0.0002 | <0.001 | 0.002 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.009 | | |
| | 14/01/2020 | 5.37 | 0.174 | 0.105 | 0.039 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | <0.001 | 0.019 | <0.0005 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.018 | | |
| MP10 | 02/04/2020 | 4.43 | 0.093 | 0.142 | 0.134 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.017 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.014 | | |
| | 26/06/2020 | 4.37 | 0.091 | 0.134 | 0.105 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.008 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.012 | | |
| | 24/09/2020 | 4.56 | 0.101 | 0.358 | 0.088 | <0.001 | <0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.025 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.011 | | |
| | 14/01/2020 | 4.58 | 0.088 | 0.351 | 0.128 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | <0.001 | 0.036 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.026 | | |
| MP12 | 02/04/2020 | 5.01 | 0.421 | <0.005 | 0.012 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | <0.001 | 0.008 | <0.0005 | 0.002 | <0.001 | 0.015 | | |
| | 26/06/2020 | 4.79 | 0.404 | <0.005 | 0.022 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | <0.001 | 0.018 | <0.0005 | 0.002 | <0.001 | 0.025 | | |
| | 24/09/2020 | 4.95 | 0.399 | <0.005 | 0.038 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | <0.001 | 0.012 | <0.0005 | 0.002 | <0.001 | 0.015 | | |
| | 14/01/2020 | 5.39 | 0.363 | 0.011 | 0.019 | <0.001 | <0.0001 | <0.001 | 0.007 | <0.0005 | 0.001 | <0.001 | 0.026 | | |

1 Initially data will be compared against ANZECC Trigger Values with the aim to develop site specific trigger levels once a large enough baseline data set is available.

2 Initially data will be compared against NHMRC Drinking Water Guidelines with the aim to develop site specific trigger levels once a large enough baseline data set is available.

3 It is noted that the pH of nearby soil and receiving waters are mildly acidic pH4.5-pH5.3. Site specific pH trigger levels to be established once a large enough baseline data set is available.

4 ANZECC Guidelines do not specify a trigger value for total chromium (Cr) due to insufficient data. This will be established as part of the baseline criteria for the site.

5 Data in **bold** indicates the data is outside the trigger levels.



APPENDIX E – SITE PHOTOGRAPHS OF BUNDS AND SCREENING AREAS

BUND A

View of Bund A from the eastern end, trees planted to the north of the Bund and a single row of non-koala habitat trees on the south-western side of the Bund. Photo March 2021.





BUND B

Bund B – Low earth mound 10 metres wide. Established and grassed and planted with 2 rows of non-koala habitat trees/shrubs. Photo March 2021.



BUND C

Earth bund surrounding the Sand Washing Plant approx. 15 metres wide. Photo March 2020.





BUND D

10 metre wide bund. Established and grassed. Photo March 2021.



BUND E

Low sacrificial bund 10 metres wide. Established and grassed. Photo March 2021.





AREA TO THE NORTH OF THE MAIN ACCESS ROAD

Established, grassed and planted with 2 rows of non-koala habitat trees/shrubs. Photo March 2021.







APPENDIX F – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING GRAPHS



IMS-COMP-G-0875-RQ





IMS-COMP-G-0875-RQ

















































IMS-COMP-G-0875-RQ





IMS-COMP-G-0875-RQ

























IMS-COMP-G-0875-RQ

















IMS-COMP-G-0875-RQ





IMS-COMP-G-0875-RQ







APPENDIX G – UPDATE LETTER TO RESIDENTS

1668 Wyraliah Road, Tuckurimba NSW 2480 Phone: 02 6622 0886 www.richmondguarry.com.au



25 November 2019

Dear Resident/s,

RE: RICHMOND QUARRY UPDATE

Richmond Quarry wishes to advise that the Quarry has currently suspended Quarrying operations onsite. Operations were suspended at 5pm on Wednesday the 22nd of May 2019.

Whilst no quarrying of rock is currently occurring onsite, there are very limited stockpiles of previously quarried rock available for sale onsite. This stockpiled rock is loaded onto customer transport via a single onsite loader when required.

The quarry is committed to meleting the onsite environmental requirements as required in the Site Project Approval 09_0080 and Environmental Protection Licence 20562. The site continues to regularly upload environmental monitoring results onto the Richmond Quarry Website <u>www.richmondquarry.com.au</u> to communicate the results to the community.

Should you have any queries or require any further information relating to the Guarry, please do not hesitate to contact Matt Duff (Guarry Manager) on 02 6622 0886 or by email on info@richmonmdguarry.com.au.

Yours faithfully

Michael Barnes Commercial Manager Richmond Quarry